



This is a repository copy of *Perceiving those who are gone: cultural research on post-bereavement perception or hallucination of the deceased*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/166741/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Sabucedo, P., Evans, C. orcid.org/0000-0002-4197-4202 and Hayes, J. (2023) Perceiving those who are gone: cultural research on post-bereavement perception or hallucination of the deceased. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 60 (6). pp. 879-890. ISSN 1363-4615

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461520962887>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, and any new works must also acknowledge the authors and be non-commercial. You don't have to license any derivative works on the same terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Perceiving those who are gone: Cultural research on post- bereavement perception or hallucination of the deceased

Transcultural Psychiatry

0(0) 1–12

© The Author(s) 2020



Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/1363461520962887

journals.sagepub.com/home/tps

**Pablo Sabucedo¹ , Chris Evans^{1,2} and Jacqueline Hayes¹**

Abstract

Experiencing the continued presence of the deceased is common among the bereaved, whether as a sensory perception or as a felt presence. This phenomenon has been researched from psychological and psychiatric perspectives during the last five decades. Such experiences have been also documented in the ethnographic literature but, despite the extensive cross-cultural research in the area, anthropological data has generally not been considered in the psychological literature about this phenomenon. This paper provides an overview aimed at bridging these two areas of knowledge, and approaches the post-bereavement perception or hallucination of the deceased in cultural context. Ongoing debates are addressed from the vantage point of ethnographic and clinical case study research focusing on the cultural repertoires (in constant flux as cultures change) from which these experiences are labelled as desirable and normal, on the one hand, or as dangerous and pathological, on the other.

Keywords

bereavement, grief, mourning, hallucination, felt presence, sense of presence

Introduction

During and after the grieving process, the bereaved frequently describe hearing, seeing, or feeling the presence of the person who died. These experiences have been extensively narrated in the folk, historical, and ethnographic literatures and, during the last five decades, have received increased attention from the psychological and medical disciplines.

Research in this area, in both psychology and psychiatry, is divided terminologically. On one side are those who refer to post-bereavement hallucination (Rees, 1971; Grimby, 1993; Castelnovo, Cavalloti, Gambini, & D'Agostino, 2015), grief hallucination (Baethge, 2002), or hallucination of the deceased (APA, 2013). On the other, and primarily due to the pathological connotation of the term hallucination, some prefer to refer to experiencing or sensing the presence of the deceased (Steffen & Coyle, 2010; Keen, Murray, & Payne, 2013; Hayes & Leudar, 2016).

To avoid adopting either side of this polarised debate, and merely for convenience in this paper, we will refer to these experiences as a bereavement-related

perception or hallucination of the deceased (BPHD). On our definition, a BPHD involves perceiving (hearing, seeing, touching, smelling) or feeling (the presence of) the deceased person. They are mainly felt as pleasant, comforting, and welcome, and they are experienced by 30% to 60% of the bereaved (Keen et al., 2013; Castelnovo et al., 2015), happening to those identifying as both religious and non-religious.¹ BPHDs are most frequently a felt presence (39% to 52%), followed by auditory (13% to 30%) and visual (14% to 26%) experiences (Rees, 1971; Grimby, 1993), although experiences involving several (or all) sensory modalities have been also reported in the literature (Castelnovo et al., 2015).

¹University of Roehampton, UK

²University of Sheffield, UK

Corresponding author:

Pablo Sabucedo, Department of Psychology, University of Roehampton, Whitelands College, Holybourne Avenue, SW15 4JD, London, UK.

Email: pablosabucedo@cop.es

In contrast to the common over-reliance of psychological research on Western samples (Henrich, Heine, & Norenzayan, 2010; Rad, Martingano, & Ginges, 2018), this reported prevalence is based on a breadth of cross-cultural data. The initial empirical studies on BPHDs were conducted in Japan, by Yamamoto, Okonogi, Iwasaki and Yoshimura (1969), and in Wales, by Rees (1971). Studies have followed across European, American, and Asian countries (Castelnovo et al., 2015). However, these rates should be approached with some caution: BPHDs are believed to be underreported due to fears of rejection, stigmatisation, or ridicule (Rees, 1971, 2001; Grimby, 1993, 1998), and there are clear phenomenological difficulties in isolating these experiences from similar ones, such as waking-nightmares during sleep paralysis (Cheyne, 2001, 2003) and hypnagogic and hypnopompic hallucinatory experiences.

Despite BPHDs being predominantly experienced as welcome, a minority of people find them disturbing. Although data on the valence of BPHDs is considerably limited, 5% to 30% seem to be experienced in a distressing, unwelcome or ambivalent way (Hayes & Steffen, 2017). In discussing the reason(s) for the varied valences of BPHDs, several authors have noted the importance of sociocultural-sanctioning and suggested that distressing BPHDs could be non-existent (Yamamoto et al., 1969) or less disturbing (Chan et al., 2005) in cultures where perceiving the deceased is culturally accepted and expected. Steffen and Coyle (2010) and Keen and colleagues (2013) have also discussed the particular role of spiritual-religious belief in the sociocultural-sanctioning of these experiences.

Whilst cross-cultural research has had an impact on discussion of BPHDs, the lack of integration between the psychological and anthropological literatures has caused the richness of ethnographic data to be frequently overlooked. Arguably, at the same time, the attention to phenomenology in psychology and psychiatry has had little impact in the anthropological realm. Although this is probably due to the foundational disconnection between both disciplines (Fish, 2000; Greenfield, 2000), terminological issues have not helped in establishing bridges: whilst psychologists have coined a myriad of etic terms for these experiences², anthropologists have mainly relied on emic descriptions (such as possession or haunting).

By contrast, the wider field of hallucination research, besides specific research on BPHDs, has shown an integrative trend between psychology and anthropology in recent decades. Non-clinical voice-hearing is now known to be frequent among the general population (Beavan, Read, & Cartwright 2011) and to be culturally malleable (Luhmann, 2011).

Psychosis also varies in hallucination content (Suhail & Cochrane, 2002) and sensory modality (Bauer et al., 2011) across countries. Reviewing the ethnographic and clinical literatures in the area, Larøi et al. (2014) concluded that culture influences the experiencing, understanding, and labelling of hallucinatory experiences. In their view:

An ethnographic approach to hallucinations therefore becomes essential in understanding how members of particular societies identify and understand sensory events that would be recognised by secular observers as hallucinations and how they distinguish between unusual sensory events they regard as appropriate and those they identify as signs of illness. The richness of the ethnographic method captures meaning that experimental approaches will miss. (p. 213)

Following this stance, the aim of this article is to address the gap in the literature between the psychological and psychiatric perspectives, on the one hand, and anthropological approaches, on the other. This review includes the ethnographic, cultural, cross-cultural, and socio-historical studies in the area, and should be read alongside the existing reviews of the psychological and psychiatric literatures written by Keen and colleagues (2013) and by Castelnovo and colleagues (2015).

Method

A keyword-based search was conducted in three databases (Social Sciences Citation Index, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar) to identify pertinent studies. In each database, keywords from the database were selected that addressed potential BPHDs (presence, hallucination, apparition, haunting, possession), combined with keywords addressing loss (bereavement, grief, mourning) and with ones addressing the research area (ethnography, cultural, cross-cultural). Only experiences fitting the definition of BPHDs provided above were included in this review. Exclusion criteria, therefore, were experiences occurring outside complete wakefulness, and those not experienced directly but mediated by somebody else (e.g. by a shaman or a priest). Studies assessed as being outside the aforementioned research area were also excluded. The initial search was supplemented with manual searches in selected articles: forward by citation tracking, and backward from references, in order to identify additional papers that had been missed through the database search. The search was conducted in both English and Spanish. The resulting studies are reviewed according to their main framework: (1) medical anthropological and cultural psychiatric research, (2) family-based

participant observation, (3) Psychotherapy case studies, (4) interview-based psychological and psychiatric research, and (5) socio-historical research. The reader is cautioned regarding the heterogeneity of these studies, ranging from single case ($n = 1$) to fieldwork-based ($n > 100$) studies.

Overview of the literature

Medical anthropological and cultural psychiatric research.

Almost simultaneously with the foundational studies of Rees (1971) and Yamamoto and colleagues (1969), three cases of BPHDs were documented by Matchett (1972) in his fieldwork among the Hopi Indians of northern Arizona. The three were elderly Hopi women, diagnosed as non-psychotic and suffering from depression, whom “during a period of mourning, clearly and repeatedly hallucinates the presence of a recently deceased family member” (Matchett, 1972, p. 185). These experiences were audio-visual (a vision for two of them, a vision and a voice for the third one) and clearly unwelcome for all of them. For one woman, her visual experiences evolved from being welcome to become highly distressing:

She described how she would sit alone in her room in the evening and draw all the shades, and then, almost nightly, a vision of her deceased husband would appear before her chair. He would say little to her. At first she found this experience a very comforting one, and looked forward to his presence. Later, he began quite persistently to say things like: ‘I’m gone now, don’t bring me back any more; I don’t want to come back’. In the last month before her hospitalisation, the apparition stood in front of her chair, caressed her hair, then softly touched her cheek. She could distinctly feel his fingers move gently from her cheek to her neck; then suddenly he began to strangle her. She sprang to her feet in terror, ‘struggled free’, threw on the light, and ‘he was gone’. Gradually, the apparition began to show signs of physical decay. She reported that flesh on his hands and arms was turning to ‘skin and bones’ and that his clothing was deteriorating (Matchett, 1972, p. 189).

Matchett (1972) associated these experiences, together with the Hopi’s tolerance and acceptance toward them as a community, to their “extraordinary complex and sophisticated level of interest in their own intrapsychic lives” (p. 186). Whilst connecting these BPHDs with the particularities of their culture, he believed that “this phenomenon is not merely an interesting and unique anthropological aberration born of centuries of isolation, but that it may also represent an unusually

clear and open statement of a very common human experience” (p. 185).

Another case, of a bereaved woman experiencing the presence of her deceased father, was described by Putsch (1988) among the Coast Salish, a group of tribes inhabiting the North American (mainly Canadian) Pacific coast. The Salish believe that chronic illnesses during wintertime are caused by spirit possession, an illness that is only treatable through singing and dancing the spirit’s song. The woman described a vision of her father giving her a song, after which her mother began “hallucinating her father all the time, refusing to believe that he was really gone” (Putsch, 1988, p. 14). The daughter developed arthritis, which she related to the spirit’s influence, and both women came to believe that she was about to die. A memorial service, during which the daughter was able to sing his father’s spirit song, was successfully conducted as a treatment.

Nagel (1988), moreover, documented another three cases of distressing BPHDs in his fieldwork among the Navajo. All of them, suffering from a traumatic loss, received psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy (antidepressant medication) in addition to culturally-bound rites and ceremonies. As Matchett (1972) did with the Hopi, Nagel (1988) connected these experiences to the worldview of the Navajo. Loss and mourning were surrounded with fright and avoidance, since they believed that most of the deceased return as malevolent ghosts that haunt their relatives. As a measure of prevention, no emotional expression of grief is condoned in the community after the four-day period of mourning. Nagel presented “haunting” as a culturally-sanctioned outlet of the grieving distress, providing a solution to the mourning processes that go awry: the advice of “star-gazers” and the “Enemy Way” ceremonies that offer both diagnosis and treatment. Nagel finished his article reflecting on how:

Culture shapes and patterns these processes and interprets and judges significant aspects of the individual’s experience. The intensity, frequency, and affective response to dreams and hallucinations seems to lead to a determination as to whether these experiences are deemed ‘normal’ or ‘pathological’ in a given cultural context (Nagel, 1988, p. 39).

This fear of the return of the dead among the Navajo, and the surrounding avoidance, has been repeatedly documented in other cultures within the ethnographic literature. An example is the mourning rites in several Amazonian lowland cultures which, according to Taylor (1993), are primarily focused on forcing the deceased to vanish. The Jivaro, an Amazonian tribe inhabiting the jungle between Ecuador and Peru,

believe that re-experiencing the deceased person (verbally or visually) is dangerous for the living: "...if biological death is not enough to separate the living from the dead, it is primarily because the dead are remembered" (Taylor, 1993, p. 655). The bereaved Jivaro therefore strive to erase any remembrance of the dead after the loss. Sleep is avoided for a day in order to impede dreaming of the deceased person, and "soul songs" are chanted to break the bond between dead and the living:

The most immediate concern for all members of the local group is, quite literally, losing the deceased, forcibly separating them from their living relatives. When a person dies or is killed, his image (*wakan*) remains in the vicinity to harass the living: either vengefully, in the shape of a murderous ghost called *muisak* (*emesak* in Shuar) intent on causing accidental death in the household of the killer, or nostalgically, in the shape of a blind ghost prone to upsetting pots and banging things during the night. The dead are acutely lonely and they are also sightless and perpetually hungry; hence their reluctance to part with the living (Taylor, 1993, p. 662).

Kracke (1988) described similar prescriptions and beliefs in his ethnography among the Kagwahic, another Amazonian tribe. They encourage the muting of their sorrow during mourning, and the name of the deceased is altered (turning the word into an inanimate noun) in order to distance them from the living. A mortuary rite is in place to keep the ghosts at bay: the eyes of the corpse are closed and their face is tied with a cloth. Documenting visions of the deceased among the bereaved Kagwahic, Kracke initially considered them indicative of a pathological mourning that was triggered by the fear and repression surrounding bereavement. Further data from in-depth interviewing, however, made him conclude that "these reactions do not seem more intense or problematic than in the normal mourning process in our own culture" (Kracke, 1988, p. 218). He described both welcome and unwelcome experiences among the interviewees, surprised by the comforting and beneficial nature of some visual BPHDs.

Yet another description of this mourning taboo can be found in the ethnography of Shepard (2002) among the Matsigenka, a third Amazonian tribe. They believe that, after a sudden death, the deceased can return to life (in the form of a beast) to attack their own loved ones, either when they are dreaming or when they are walking alone in the forest. Bereft families are invaded by a mortal fear after the loss, and the mourning rites of the Matsigenka mirror this concern over the power of the dead. "The dead seek out their loved ones for

companionship," describes Shepard, "not fully aware of their own dangerous, liminal status. It is their nostalgia for life that makes the dead so perilous to the living" (Shepard, 2002, p.211). This defensive mourning involves, for the bereaved, laying quietly in the house for three days, avoiding both the forest and hunting, and painting their head with the ghost-repellent red *annatto*, a tincture. The nostrils of the corpse are plugged with *tabor*, a resin, to smother the aggressiveness of the beast. The Matsigenka differentiate between dreaming about a dead person, on the one hand, and seeing them while awake, on the other: *tsa-vitetagantsi*, "to become confused, to see something that latter vanishes or is not there" (p. 213). The latter is seen as a serious illness frequently leading to death, and *kamatsirivenki*, a sedge frequently infected by psychedelic-producing ergot fungi, is used as a treatment.

So far, this section has outlined three case studies among North American tribes and three ethnographies among Amazonian tribes. All of them have described people reporting BPHDs that were interwoven with the surrounding socio-cultural and spiritual-religious framework. The predominant sensory modality was visual, followed by auditory, and the experiences were mainly distressing.

An exception to this trend, regarding both the modality and the valence of the experiences, is the ethnography conducted by Gondar-Portasany (1989) in coastal Galicia (Spain). Interviewing 1,873 people with BPHDs as part of his fieldwork, besides the usual visual and hearing experiences, he also documented the belief that the deceased return as a dove or as a dancing light in the night, experiences reported by 21% and 15% of his sample, respectively. He connected these experiences to the societal changes in Galicia and in Western societies during the last century:

The fear of death is making us forget the dead: those that were first expelled from the centre of the village and kept in peripheral cemeteries. We are now trying to expulse them from our memory: because they symbolise our own death (which we seek to avoid), because they are our past, because we are only interested in our future. The problem is that the deceased are taking revenge coming back to perturb the unconscious of their reckless children [...]. As we destroy ritual symbolism without providing a substitute system, we are witnessing the exclusion of an anarchic imagination almost bordering the pathological (Gondar-Portasany, 1989, p.14, translation by the first author).

Family-based participant observation. Whilst the ethnographies of Kracke (1988), Taylor (1993), and Shepard

(2002) documented instances where BPHDs were culturally avoided and feared, the fieldwork of Doran and Downin-Hansen (2006) provided evidence of the opposite situation. Conducting participant observation among Mexican American families living in the United States who had lost a child, they documented a situation where BPHDs were not only accepted, but culturally sought-after. The families maintained a bond with the deceased children through a shared felt presence, experienced collectively (and in a welcome way) as a family system rather than as an individual, and stated that it would be “inconceivable not to maintain such a bond” (Doran & Downin-Hansen, 2006, p. 209). Rites and storytelling were in place, such as the use of the flower *zempasuchil*, which is believed to attract the spirits of the deceased. They concluded:

The cultural influences on the grief process were clearly evident in the interview data. For example, the preparation of the deceased’s favourite food as part of the Day of the Dead celebration, the use of certain flowers to entice the spirit of the deceased to return home, and the dressing of dolls in the deceased’s clothes on home altars all illustrate the powerful role of Mexican American culture in the grief process [...]. Death is a prevalent motif in Mexican culture, perhaps because of the intertwining of similar Aztec and Catholic beliefs that death is not the end, but rather an entry into a new way of life [...]. Psychotherapists, when working with similar Mexican American families, might prudently focus more on engaging extended family and community support for the bereaved rather than emphasizing the centrality of the counselling relationship (Doran & Downin-Hansen, 2006, p.209).

Steffen and Coyle (2017), in contrast, documented the dissension within a family caused by similar experiences. They conducted a family-based participant observation with a family of German origin living in England following the death of the father. After his death, his wife continued hearing his voice and feeling his presence, which she understood within the framework of her Catholic belief in an afterlife. Her children dismissed her interpretation as incompatible with their own rationalistic worldview. This clash of frameworks influenced the valence of the experiences, which were felt as comforting for the mother but (externally) seen as disturbing for her daughter. Connecting this mismatch with the surrounding societal taboos in Western European cultures, Steffen and Coyle considered this “an example of how wider macro-social tendencies around such phenomena are played out at a local level” (Steffen & Coyle, 2017, p. 380).

Doran and Downin-Hansen (2006) and Steffen and Coyle (2017) have showed the relevance of

family-based research on BPHDs. Besides participant observation, future research could focus on the interactional and linguistic issues involved in the family system experiencing BPHDs, either in dissension and individually (as in Steffen & Coyle, 2017) or in agreement and collectively (as in Doran & Downin-Hansen, 2006).

Psychotherapy case studies. The first paper on psychotherapy for distressing BPHDs was published, to the best of our knowledge, by Aguilar and Wood (1974). The intervention was held in a mental health clinic in California that provided psychotherapy to patients of Latin American (and mainly Mexican) origin. Among other techniques, they described the use of a drama-ritual with Mexican symbolism as an intervention for grief difficulties. The case was of an adolescent girl suffering from distressing visual BPHDs of her deceased father. Aguilar and Wood connected the distress to unresolved business with the departed, and described how the girl was able to express her grief and anger during the drama-ritual, confronting her father (“You were bad, you drank, you left us alone”; Aguilar & Wood, 1974, p. 13) before making amends, and saying goodbye.

Three years later, MacDonald and Oden (1977) published a clinical case series on three young Hawaiians suffering from distressing visual BPHDs. All of them interpreted these experiences as visits from *aumakua*, departed loved ones that appear to their family members in the form of spirits. They were initially treated with behaviour therapy (systematic desensitisation) but, after the intervention failed, a Hawaiian cultural practice was used instead: they were told to relax, bring forth the image of their *aumakua*, and ask them what messages they had for them. This latter intervention was quickly successful, decreasing their suffering and making the visions disappear. In the three cases, the *aumakua* (the grandmother of the boys, in the first two cases, and the brother of a girl, in the third one) communicated moral messages to them: to behave ethically, to stop his violent behaviour, and to obey her family, respectively. The authors connected these phenomena with the Hawaiian respect for their elders, and explained them from an etic perspective as the externalisation of a cognitive expectation that “seems to serve as solution to the dilemma posed by belief in the importance of voluntary adherence to group standards and, at the same time, belief in the need for independent control” (MacDonald & Oden, 1977, p. 193).

Shimabukuro, Daniels and D’Andrea (1999), also in Hawaii, published the case study of an 11-year-old Filipino boy whose mother had died and who frequently experienced her continued presence. These BPHDs were both auditory and visual and were experienced as

comforting (“She’s always there to protect me”; p. 227), and were experienced by the boy while in the therapy room (“She is over here [...], she’s kneeling down next to me”; p. 227). The authors connected the experiences with the particularities of the Filipino culture, both a religious belief in the power of the dead over the of the living and a sanctioning of BPHDs. Confronting “some of her own ethnocentric views about death and the afterlife” (p. 235), and refraining from diagnosing, the clinician reflected how, if using a traditional approach, she:

...would have likely concluded that this student was suffering from pathological reactions to this mother’s death. By arriving at this type of conclusion, the youngster would probably have been referred for more intensive and ongoing psychotherapeutic services that would have included prescription medication to help ameliorate his reported hallucinations of his mother’s spirit and assist him in readjusting to school (p. 235).

A last case, beautifully written by Sluzki (2008), provides an interesting reflection on the concern over pathologisation expressed by Shimabukuro and colleagues (1999). He described the case of an elderly Mexican American woman who was referred to him with a diagnosis of atypical chronic schizophrenia, and treated with neuroleptic medication. She described how her two deceased sons visited her three to four times a week, in the evening, frequently after the dinner, reassuring her that they were all right and conversing and joking with her. Although the experiences were initially frightening, they became increasingly pleasing (“she enjoyed them immensely”; p. 383). The experiences were completely vivid (“Doctor, most of the time I can see and hear them as clearly as I can see you”; p. 383), although she was unsure as whether they were a figment of her imagination. She never mentioned the experiences to her (living) family due to fear of being mocked. Sluzki described a culturally-sensitive intervention, which acknowledged the beneficial nature of these experiences, whilst implicitly doubting their physicality (“she would have felt infantilized by that”; p. 387) and without disqualifying them. Whilst diagnosing them as hypnagogic hallucinations or a self-induced lucid dreaming, Sluzki withdrew the diagnosis of schizophrenia together with the neuroleptic treatment.

To summarise, these psychotherapeutic case studies have described an intervention for BPHDs guided by normalisation and cultural-awareness. All of them reported successful outcomes, as assessed by the clinician. In those cases where the BPHDs were unwelcome, the distress was hypothesised to be connected with either unfinished business with the deceased

(Aguilar & Wood, 1974) or with a deviation from socio-cultural rules (MacDonald & Oden, 1977).

Interview-based psychological and psychiatric research. Cross-cultural psychological research on BPHDs began, at the end of the nineteenth century, with the publication of the census on hallucination by Sidgewick (1894) and colleagues. The inquiry involved the interviewing of 17,000 people, across European and American countries, on the “spontaneous hallucinations of the sane” (p. 25). A total of 1,684 people (9.9%) responded affirmatively to having experienced a hallucination, of which 275 (1.6%) reported having experienced the presence of a deceased person via a vision ($n = 127$), a voice (70) or both (48).

Research then lapsed for seven decades, until the discrepancies between the investigation of Yamamoto et al. (1969), in Japan, and the studies conducted by Rees (1971) and Marris (1958) in Britain, triggered replication studies in China, Sweden, Norway, Denmark, Germany, United Kingdom, Canada, and the United States (see Castelnovo et al., 2015). Yamamoto and colleagues interviewed 20 Japanese (and mostly Buddhist) widowed women in Tokyo, and found that 90% of them felt the presence of their deceased husband. None of the women worried about their sanity. They connected this with an acceptance and encouragement in Japanese culture around the idea of the presence of the deceased, and highlighted the *butsudan* (the family altar) in this sanctioning:

In Japan the deceased become ancestors who are fed, watered, given gifts, and talked to, and so the tie between the widow and the dead husband remains through the concrete medium of the husband’s photograph on the family altar. The family altar is almost universal and is a cultural cultivation of the idea of the presence of the deceased (Yamamoto et al., 1969, p. 79)

Norichika (2016), interviewing 100 bereaved people after the Great East Japan Earthquake, reported a much lower prevalence: 30% maintained a conversation with the deceased and 25% felt their presence. He also described how Buddhist monks and priests offered (secular) psychological first aid after the catastrophe (and long after), engaging in *keicho* (active listening and mirroring responses), and strictly refraining from proselytism.

These latter two studies, together with the majority of the cross-cultural research conducted in the area during the last two decades, were framed from the perspective of continuing bonds (Klass & Steffen, 2017). The continuing bonds theory is an emerging framework in bereavement studies that, briefly stated, asserts that not every grieving process should conclude with

the detachment from the deceased (“let go for the past, and move on”; Klass & Steffen, 2018, p. 3): the ‘breaking bonds hypothesis’. A continued bond with the lost loved one, is argued, is a normal aspect of grief. A continuing bond can be an BPHD (an ‘externalised bond’), but also ‘internal bond’, such as keeping the personal belongings of the deceased and praying to them. In the case of this review, the amalgamation of these phenomena under the same terminological umbrella is a difficulty when extrapolating from cross-cultural data on continuing bonds to our understanding of BPHDs. An example of this is the investigation of Foster and colleagues (2012) among bereaved Christians in Ecuador, in which 14% of the sample reported feeling the presence of the deceased and 10% reported perceiving (hearing or seeing) them. A full 55% classified these continuing bonds as discomfoting, but the researchers did not specify whether these belonged to the latter category.

A counter-example is the study conducted by Chan and colleagues (2005) among 52 bereaved people in Hong Kong. Nine of their participants (17%) reported that their deceased loved one coming back as an insect, and “some of the bereaved also described how these insects rarely flew away, as insects normally do, allowing the bereaved the chance to talk to the insects as if they were talking to the deceased” (Chan et al., 2005, p. 939). They interpreted this from the Chinese belief that the deceased relatives can return in insect form, usually as a moth, butterfly, or dragonfly, and fly around the family altar. Another Chinese belief, belonging to Taoism, is that the spirit of the deceased will return home on the seventh day after death. Nine of the participants reported having such an experience, and one reported distress that this prediction did not occur. In total, 17 participants (33%) reported perceiving (hearing or seeing) or feeling the deceased after the death. As Yamamoto et al. (1969) did in Japan, Chan et al. (2005) highlighted the sanctioning of a prolonged connection with the deceased in Chinese culture, connected with the ritualistic use of the family altar and the coexistence of (Buddhist, Christian, and Taoist) religious beliefs in the afterlife.

Socio-historical research. Stroebe, Gergen, Gergen, and Stroebe (1994) contrasted the contemporary perspective on bereavement in Western European culture, based on the ‘breaking bonds hypothesis’, with a pre-existing ‘romantic’ perspective in 19th-century Europe, when grieving was meant to signal the importance of the relationship with the departed. From this latter perspective, a complete detachment from the deceased would categorise both the relationship and the bereaved as superficial, making “a sham of a spiritual commitment and undermining one’s sense of living a

meaningful life” (Stroebe, et al., 1994, p. 1208). Their analysis, based on previous research on 19th-century personal diaries, highlighted how common it was for people either to narrate visions of the deceased or to describe a striving to perceive (or feel) their presence. An example of their perspective in Victorian Britain is, precisely, the case of Queen Victoria after the death of Prince Albert who, according to the newspapers of the time, had:

... a firm conviction that Prince Albert is always present with her, and that she can hold communion with him [...]. In some of her moods she will converse with him for an hour together, conducting her own share of conversation aloud and with the vigour and interest of old times [...] she imagines that her husband looks on, well pleased. At times, when she is more than ordinarily depressed with a sense of his presence, the poor, fond woman will order a knife and fork to be placed on the dinner-table for him, and cause the attendants to place every course before the empty chair as if the master still occupied it. (Sacramento Daily Union, 1871, October 10)

Building on the interpretation of Stroebe et al. (1994), Walter (2017a) contrasted the cultures in which the living and the dead are expected to look after one another (“care cultures”) versus the ones where the dead are relegated to remembrance (“memory cultures”). He exemplified the latter in the (post-romantic) contemporary and secular Europe, where no interdependence between the living and the dead is allowed beyond memory, and the former in the ancestor veneration of some East Asian cultures, where ritual practices (such as shrines and ceremonies) are in place to care for the deceased, implicitly or explicitly sanctioning a mutual relationship. The reader is referred to Walter (2017b), for a sociological approach to this variance, and to Rees (2001), for a historical one.

Discussion

BPHDs seem to be a common feature of bereavement in several cultures. Cultural research, nevertheless, has repeatedly documented cultural variation in the way that BPHDs are anticipated, experienced, and evaluated. Whilst the psychological and psychiatric literatures indicate that they occur regardless of nationality, age, and creed (Keen et al., 2013; Castelnovo et al., 2015), the anthropological literature suggests that they are strongly shaped by social, cultural, and historical influences.

Anthropological remarks

From an anthropological perspective, in the light of the reviewed literature, the psychological hypothesis

connecting the valence of these experiences (welcome versus unwelcome) with the presence or absence of a (mainly religious) sociocultural-sanctioning seems oversimplified. Researchers have documented distressing BPHDs in those cultures where perceiving the dead is accepted (Matchett, 1972), as well as in those where it is feared (Nagel, 1988; Taylor, 1993). Kracke (1988), moreover, documented welcome BPHDs in a cultural environment of avoidance and repression. All occurred in cultures with a firm religious worldview. What can be concluded is that, although the cultural framework may not establish whether they are welcome or unwelcome for the bereaved, it definitely shapes the way in which the distress is suffered, expressed, and treated when BPHDs are disturbing. As Nagel (1988, p. 33) stated, "in any culture these processes can go awry and one might expect there to be a cultural prescription for diagnosing and treating pathological outcomes". The singing and dancing ceremonies of the Salish (Putsch, 1988) and the Navajo rites (Nagel, 1988) are good examples of this.

Another anthropological remark can be made regarding the phenomenological boundaries of BPHDs. We believe that meticulous attention to the subjective experience of people can add value to the anthropological exploration of BPHDs, helping distinguish rather different types of experiences.² Such phenomenological attention can differentiate BPHDs from other hallucinatory phenomena, such as a voice heard when falling asleep (hypnagogic hallucination), during sleep paralysis (waking-nightmare), or when waking up (hypnopompic hallucination). However, even here, caution is needed: Putsch (1988) and Shepard (2002) highlighted the difficulties in extrapolating the implicit Western European dichotomies (mind versus body, dreaming versus awakening, the living versus the dead, individual versus community) into some cultures to which they are alien, and the ethnographic literature provides us with more examples of these porous boundaries. Putsch (1988), for instance, has described two cases of BPHDs situated between the dreaming and waking state, which the patients (a Navajo woman and a Laos refugee) perceived as ontologically real ("the dead calling for, or returning for, the living", p.17) and which caused not psychological but psychosomatic difficulties. He defended the equivalence of these experiences to the waking ones, involving perception, and categorised them as a culture-bound "ghost illness". As Walker and Thompson (2009) have highlighted, dreaming and wakefulness are not mutually exclusive in American Indian culture.

Psychological remarks

From a psychological perspective, is compelling that several cultural researchers (ethnographers and

non-ethnographers) saw BPHDs as idiosyncratic and as specific to the cultures they studied, connecting them with either their worldview, their rites, or their historical past. This has been the case even when psychological research had already indicated that BPHDs are common, and welcome, for the majority of the bereaved across countries. Matchett (1972), for example, analysed his clinical cases from the lens of the Hopi religion. Similarly, Shen (1986) even considered "mourning hallucinations" to be a pathology specific to the Hopi culture. Gondar-Portasany (1989) connected the phenomenon to the cultural and societal changes occurring in both Galicia and in Western societies during the last century, considering them as problematic, if not bordering on the pathological. In a clinical location, Sluzki (2008) saw the audio-visual BPHDs of his patient as reflecting the magical realism of Latin American culture, assuming them to be more common in "cultures where the boundaries between the inner and the outer world are fuzzy" (p. 379). A similar conclusion was reached by MacDonald and Oden (1977) and Shimabukuro and colleagues (1999) regarding the Hawaiian and Filipino cultures, respectively. Without wishing to minimise the distress of the protagonists in these reports, we do not see a convincing argument to classify these experiences as a pathology arising from the surrounding socio-cultural environment. We attribute the need to understand BPHDs in this way to the classical disconnection between the mental health and anthropological literatures that was already discussed.³ Exceptions to this trend, nevertheless, are the studies of Kracke (1988), Putsch (1988) and Nagel (1988), who neither pathologized these experiences, nor framed them as specific to a given culture.

The proscription and pathologisation of BPHDs is clearly not the sole province of psychology and psychiatry. However, the literature suggests that, in Western European societies, the management of the divide between the bereaved and the departed relies increasingly upon mental health practitioners. In other cultures, where the therapeutic and the religious roles are not necessarily divided (Calabrese, 2008), this border-keeping function seems to have been frequently allocated to a shamanhood or a priesthood. We wonder to what extent changes in the status of religion in Western European societies, over the last 150 years, are linked with the transfer of a gatekeeping function to these mental health professions.

Psychopharmacological remarks

Another theme underlying the reviewed literature is the use of psychoactive substances, as part of this cultural proscription, either to treat or to suppress BPHDs.

An example is psychedelic use among the Matsigenka, as described by Shepard (2002), but also the use of anti-psychotic medication, within the psychiatric realm, as mentioned by Sluzki (2008). Given that psychosis is sometimes described as “simply the presence of hallucinations and/or delusions” (Semple et al., 2005, p.176), and that some distressing BPHDs could meet criteria for a brief psychotic disorder within DSM-V (APA, 2013), this use of medication to manage BPHDs is an unsurprising possibility. We believe that the danger is considerable, potentially involving an unnecessary pathologisation or an ethnocentric medicalisation, and that such use of medication should be a last line of resort when BPHDs are highly distressing. In the presence of additional and severe difficulties (e.g., delusional experiences) that predate the bereavement, nevertheless, the balance of advantages to disadvantages may be different. In those instances, a cautious exploration of a psychopharmacological intervention could be warranted.

When viewed from an anthropological perspective, however, psychoactive substances have been widely linked with the management of BPHDs across cultures. What is interesting is that they are not only used to suppress BPHDs, but also to promote such experiences, as psychedelic substances have been used to loosen the boundaries between the dead and the living as well. Taylor (1993), for example, describes how communication with the deceased is sought-after among male Jivaro, when transitioning from childhood to adulthood, through a vision quest. The liminal rite involves entering in the forest for days, fasting alone, and finally consuming datura (an anticholinergic psychedelic) to materialise the *arutam*, a vision, which is addressed as ‘grandfather’. The ancestor is identifiable as such, but not recognisable as a concrete person: the mourning rites have distanced them from the living, and they have been forgotten. Similar pharmacologically-caused experiences have been also documented arising from the ritualistic use of ayahuasca (or *ayawaska*) in the Amazon basin (Shanon, 2010), a serotonergic psychedelic brew that etymologically means liana (or vine) of the dead in Quechua.

Conclusion: Implications for clinical practice

We have identified, analysed and contrasted perspectives of bereavement-related perceptions or hallucinations of the deceased in the psychiatric, psychological and anthropological literatures, and have outlined some potential avenues for future research. A point of agreement between both the psychological and anthropological literatures, when working clinically

with distressing BPHDs, is recommending awareness of the patient’s cultural resources. There is a danger, as highlighted by Shimabukuro and colleagues (1999), for the clinician to “pathologize behaviours that, although appearing unusual to the culturally incompetent practitioner, are very appropriate from the client’s own cultural perspective” (p. 225). This is especially pertinent considering the absence of a clear psychological framework to draw from when making sense of these experiences (Hayes, 2011), and that a failure in accommodating a culturally-bound belief may easily derail the assessment or the intervention (Putsch 1988). Two of the clinical cases, the ones presented by Shimabukuro and colleagues (1999) and Sluzki (2008), have described a psychological intervention for BPHDs that were not experienced as distressing. Consideration should be given to whether these experiences were unwelcome for the patient or for the external observer, and normalisation is needed in those instances when BPHDs cause no distress (Hayes & Steffen, 2017).

In an era of increasing migration and globalisation, intercultural psychotherapy is becoming more the rule than the exception. In such a context, there is a need to build stronger bridges between the clinical disciplines of psychology and psychiatry, including close attention to phenomenology, and anthropology, recognising the value that ethnographic research has to bring to this area.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was financially supported by the University of Roehampton.

ORCID iD

Pablo Sabucedo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7932-0311>

Notes

1. An exception is the survey of Ata (2012) in Australia, who found a higher prevalence of audio-visual BPHDs among bereaved Muslims (40%), Hindus, and Sikhs (29%), versus Buddhists (1%) and the non-religiously affiliated (1%). He connected this difference to a higher unrestrained emotional expression of loss among the Muslim and Indian communities.

2. The ethnographic literature is filled with lived experiences that, although situated beyond the definition of BPHD, are of relevance in this discussion. Langford (2013) and Astuti (2007), for example, have described the importance of dreaming of the deceased in Southeast Asian people in exile and in the Vezo people of Madagascar, respectively. In both instances, the dreaming experience is both distressing and perceived as veridical: an actual, and dangerous, encounter with the spirit of the deceased. Other examples are mediational experiences, when a shaman in trance mediates the encounter between the living and the dead (Vitebsky, 2017), and spirit possession by the deceased (Englund, 1988; Gondar-Portasany, 1989; Bilu, 2001). Lastly, Al-Adawi, Burjorjee and Al-Issa (1997) described a culturally-specific response to bereavement in Oman, *mu-ghayeb*, that despite not involving a perception or hallucination, implies an illusion of the deceased (almost seeing them in a rapidly-passing car or wandering across the countryside in the distance) in combination with a delusion (a complete denial of the loss). This review of cultural research on BPHDs, therefore, should be considered within the much wider anthropological knowledge about ancestor veneration, ghost belief, and burial rites (see, for example, Rosenblatt, Walsh, & Jackson 1976).
3. This frontier between both disciplines is, of course, not dichotomous. These cultural studies were not only conducted by ethnographers but also cultural psychiatrists and intercultural psychotherapists.

References

- Aguilar, I. & Wood, V.N. (1974). Aspects of death, grief and mourning in the treatment of Spanish-speaking mental patients. *Annual Meeting of the American Anthropology Association*, 73, 1–16.
- Al-Adawi, S., Burjoree, R., & Al-Issa, I. (1997). Mu-ghayed: A culture-specific response to bereavement in Oman. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 43(2), 144–151.
- American Psychiatric Association (APA). (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fifth edition (DSM-5)*. Arlington, VA: APA.
- Astuti, R. (2007). Ancestors and the afterlife. *Quaderns de institut Català d'Antropologia*, 23, 61–78.
- Ata, A. (2012). Bereavement anxieties and health amongst the Australian-Italian Catholic community. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, 15(6), 555–570.
- Baethge, C. (2002). Grief hallucinations: True or pseudo? Serious or not? *Psychopathology*, 35(5), 296–302.
- Bauer, S., Schanda, H., Karakula, H. Olajossy-Hilkesberger, L., Rudalevicene, P., Okribelashvili, N., ... Stompe, T. (2011). Culture and the prevalence of hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 52(3), 319–325.
- Beavan, V., Read, J., & Cartwright, C. (2011). The prevalence of voice-hearers in the general population: A literature review. *Journal of Mental Health*, 20(3), 281–292.
- Bilu, Y. (2001). The woman who wanted to be her father: A case analysis of *dybbuk*-possession in a Hasidic community. In E.A. Castelli (Ed.), *Woman, gender, religion: A reader* (pp.331–345). New York: Palgrave.
- Calabrese, J.D. (2008). Clinical paradigm clashes: Ethnocentric and political barriers to Native American efforts at self-healing. *Ethos*, 36(3), 334–353.
- Castelnovo, A., Cavallotti, S., Gambini O., & D'Agostino, A. (2015). Post-bereavement hallucinatory experiences: A critical overview of population and clinical studies. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 186, 266–274.
- Chan, C.L.W., Chow, A.Y.M., Ho, S. M.Y., Tsui, T.K.Y., Tin, A.F., Koo, B.W.K., & Koo, E.W.K. (2005). The experience of Chinese bereaved persons: A preliminary study of meaning-making and continuing bonds. *Death Studies*, 29(10), 923–947.
- Cheyne, J.A. (2001). The ominous numinous: Sensed presence and 'other' hallucinations. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 8(5–6), 133–150.
- Cheyne, J.A. (2003). Sleep paralysis and the structure of waking-nightmare hallucinations. *Dreaming*, 13(3), 163–179.
- Gondar-Portasany, M. (1989). *Romeiros do alén*. Vigo: Xerais.
- Doran, G. & Downing-Hansen, N. (2006). Constructions of Mexican American family grief after the death of a child: An exploratory study. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 12(2), 199–211.
- Englund, H. (1988). Death, trauma and ritual: Mozambican refugees in Malawi. *Social Science Medicine*, 46(9), 1165–1174.
- Greenfield, P.M. (2000). What psychology can do for anthropology: Why anthropology took postmodernism on the chin. *American Anthropologist*, 102(3), 564–576.
- Grimby, A. (1993). Bereavement among elderly people: Grief reactions, post-bereavement hallucinations and quality of life. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 87(1), 72–80.
- Grimby, A. (1998). Hallucinations following the loss of a spouse: Common and normal events among the elderly. *Journal of Clinical Geropsychology*, 4(1), 65–74.
- Fish, J.M. (2000). What anthropology can do for psychology: Facing physics envy, ethnocentrism, and a belief in 'race'. *American Anthropologist*, 102(3), 552–563.
- Foster, T.L., Roth, M., Contreras, R., Gilmer M.J., & Gordon, J.E. (2012). Continuing bonds reported by bereaved individuals in Ecuador. *Bereavement Care*, 31(3), 120–128.
- Hayes, J. (2011). *Experience the presence of the deceased: Symptoms, spirits, or ordinary life?* Doctoral thesis. Manchester: University of Manchester.
- Hayes, J. & Leudar, I. (2016). Experiences of continued presence: On the practical consequences of 'hallucinations' in bereavement. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*, 89(2), 194–210.
- Hayes, J., & Steffen, E.M. (2017). Working with welcome and unwelcome presence in grief. In D. Klass & E.M. Steffen (Eds.), *Continuing bonds in bereavement* (p.163–175). London: Routledge.
- Henrich, J., Heine, S.J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33(2–3), 61–83.
- Keen, C., Murray, C. & Payne, S. (2013). Sensing the presence of the deceased: A narrative review. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, 16(4), 384–402.

- Klass, D. & Steffen, E.M. (2017). *Continuing bonds in bereavement*. London: Routledge.
- Kracke, W.H. (1988). Kagwahic mourning II: Ghosts, grief, and reminiscences. *Ethos*, 16(2), 209–222.
- Langford, J.M. (2013). *Consoling ghosts: Stories of medicine and mourning from Southeast Asians in exile*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Laroi, F., Luhrmann, T.M., Bell, V., Christian W.A., Deshpande, S., Fernyhough, C., Jenkins, J., & Woods, A. (2014). Culture and hallucinations: overview and future directions. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 40(4), S213–S220.
- Leudar, I. & Thomas, P. (2000). *Voices of reason, voices of insanity: Studies of verbal hallucinations*. London: Routledge.
- Luhrmann, T.M. (2011). Hallucinations and sensory overrides. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 40, 71–85.
- MacDonald, W.S., & Oden, C.W. (1977). Aumakua: Behavioural direction visions in Hawaiians. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 86(2), 189–194.
- Matchett, W.F. (1972). Repeated hallucinatory experiences as a part of the mourning process among Hopi Indian women. *Psychiatry*, 35(2), 185–194.
- Marris, P. (1958). *Widows and their families*. London: Routledge.
- Nagel, J.K. (1988). Unresolved grief and mourning in Navajo women. *American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research*, 2(2), 32–40.
- Norichika, H. (2016). Continuing bonds in the Tōhoku disaster area. *Journal of Religion in Japan*, 5(2–3), 199–226.
- Putsch, R.W. (1988). Ghost illness: A cross-cultural experience with the expression of a non-Western tradition in clinical practice. *American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research*, 2(2), 6–26.
- Rad, M.S., Martingano, A.J., & Ginges, J. (2018). Toward a psychology of Homo sapiens: Making psychological science more representative of the human population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(45), 11401–11405.
- Rees, W.D. (1971). The hallucinations of widowhood. *British Medical Journal*, 4, 37–41.
- Rees, W.D. (2001). *Death and bereavement*. London: Whurr Publishers.
- Rosenblatt, P.C., Walsh, R.P., & Jackson, D.A. (1976). *Grief and mourning in cross-cultural perspective*. Connecticut: Human Relations Area Files Press.
- Sacramento Daily Union. 1871, October 10. Queen Victoria's hallucination. *Volume 42*(7303).
- Seiple, D., Smyth, R., Burns, J., Darjee, R., & McIntosh, A. (2005). *Oxford handbook of psychiatry*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Shimabukuro, K.P., Daniels, J., & D'Andrea, M. (1999). Addressing spiritual issues from a cultural perspective: The case of the grieving Filipino boy. *Journal of Multicultural Counselling and Development*, 27(4), 221–239.
- Shen, W.W. (1986). The Hopi Indian's mourning hallucinations. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 174(6), 365–367.
- Shanon, B. (2010). *The antipodes of the mind: Charting the phenomenology of the ayahuasca experience*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Shepard, G.H. (2002). Three days for weeping: Dreams, emotions and death in the Peruvian Amazon. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 16(2), 200–229.
- Sidgwick, H. (1894). Report on the census of hallucinations. *Proceedings of the Society for Psychical Research*, 10, 25–402.
- Sluzki, C.E. (2008). Saudades at the edge of the self and the merits of 'portable families. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 45(3), 379–390.
- Steffen, E.M., & Coyle, A. (2010). Can "sense of presence" experiences in bereavement be conceptualised as spiritual phenomena? *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, 13(3), 273–291.
- Steffen, E.M. & Coyle, A. (2017). A case study of sense of presence experiences in bereavement and family-making. *Omega*, 74(4), 363–385.
- Stroebe, M., Gergen, M.M., Gergen, K.J., & Stroebe, W. (1994). Broken hearts or broken bonds: Love and death in historical perspective. *American Psychologist*, 47(10), 1205–1212.
- Suhail, K. & Cochrane, R. (2002). Effects of culture and environment on the phenomenology of delusions and hallucinations. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 48(2), 126–138.
- Taylor, A.C. (1993). Remembering to forget: Identity, mourning and memory among the Jivaro. *Man*, 28, 653–678.
- Vitebsky, P. (2017). *Living without the dead: Loss and redemption in a jungle cosmos*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Walker, A. & Thompson, T. (2009). Muscogee Creek spirituality and meaning of death. *Omega*, 59(2), 129–146.
- Walter, T. (2017a). How continuing bonds have been framed across millennia. In D. Klass & E.M. Steffen (Eds.), *Continuing bonds in bereavement* (pp.43–55). London: Routledge.
- Walter, T. (2017b). How the dead survive: Ancestors, immortality, memory. In M.H. Jacobsen (Ed.), *Postmortal Society: Towards a sociology of immortality* (pp.19–39). London: Routledge.
- Yamamoto, J., Okonogi, K., Iwasaki, T. & Yoshimura, S. (1969). Mourning in Japan. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 125(12), 1660–1665.

Pablo Sabucedo is a clinical psychologist and integrative psychotherapist. He trained at Leiden University (Holland) and the University of Santiago de Compostela (Spain) and has practised clinically in several countries. He is currently a visiting lecturer, and doctoral candidate, at the Department of Psychology of the University of Roehampton, in London. His research interest lies in the relationship between culture, mental health and psychotherapy, especially in cases of clinical and non-clinical hallucinatory experiences. [Email: pablosabucedo@cop.es]

Chris Evans is a clinically retired medical psychotherapist and visiting professor in the Department of Psychology of the University of Sheffield. He trained in individual and group dynamic psychotherapy and systemic psychotherapy and worked in the National Health Service (NHS) from 1984 to 2016. He is a co-developer of the CORE (Clinical Outcomes in Routine Evaluation) system (www.coresystemtrust.org.uk) and has published widely. His research interest has always been in how it is that we think we know what we think we know, but particularly what it is we think we know about the changes people achieve in psychotherapy.

Jacqueline Hayes works as a senior lecturer in counselling psychology at the University of Roehampton in London. She completed a PhD at the University of Manchester which examined the accounts of bereaved persons who had experienced the presence of the deceased. Her primary interest is in the phenomenal and pragmatic qualities of the experiences and their situatedness in the ordinary lives of the bereaved, including their relational histories. She teaches humanistic therapies and qualitative research methods.